

UNITED STATE: JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/762,	893 02/13	3/01 SCHINDLER	l"I	02481.1734	
			HM22/0720	EXA	EXAMINER	
	FINNEGA	N HENDERSON	,	FORD.	J ·	
	GARRETT	& DUNNER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1300 I	N SQUARE BU STREET NW 9 TON DC 2000	GUITE 700	1624	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/20/01

	Application No. Applicant(s) In don edal
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period f r Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET T OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re-	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . tte, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
, and the second se	is/are allowed.
Ctaim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority use □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	er)
received in this national stage application from the Inte	
*Certified copies not received:	` "
Attachment(s)	
Information Disclosure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notic of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-94	P(Y)
- Cella	Action Summary

The state of the s

Application/Control Number: 09/762,893

Art Unit: 1624

The claims in the application are claims 1--19.

Claims 1--12 examined by the International Bureau. 37 CFR 1.476 prohibit the addition of more claims as the examiner in the national county would not have the benefit of the examination in the PCT.

Claims 9, 11, 12, 16, 18 and 19 violate 35 U.S.C. 101 ad 35 U.S.C. 112, since they are drafted in terms of use. See Clinical Products vs. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 151; 149 USPQ 475 (D.C. District Columbia 1966).

Claims 9--12 and 16--19 are not in U.S. claim form.

Line 1 of claim 10 and claim 17 should begin: A pharmaceutical composition comprising one or more --.

Claims 9 and 16 should be cancelled, as applicants cannot support all pharmaceutical wells.

Claims 11 and 18 should be cancelled as activation of soluble granulate cyclase does not qualify as a real World Utility. Screen tests or Laboratory tests are not accepted as real World Utilities.

See Exhibit A, page 298, col. 2.

The is a 371 application.

Restriction in 371 applications is controlled by 37 CFR 1.475 and CFR 1.475 makes it clear that, addition to the compound, applicants are entitled to have one clear, understandable utility examined with the compound.

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 9--12 and 16--19 are directed to more than one utility. Claims 9-12 and 16-19 should be re-written or canceled so that one understandable method of use, in currently available form is claimed here.

The recent utility guidelines set by PTO require applicants to meet the requirements as stated in Brenner v. Manson in 148 USPQ 689, which requires that utility be developed to a point where "specific benefits exist in currently available form. Similar is the immediate benefit to the public" standard that Nelson, 206 USPQ 880 refers to. The standard set forth in the concurring opinion of In re Hartop, 235 USPQ 419 is "whether the invention has been brought to such perfection as to be capable of practical employment". This language is echoed in Bindra vs. Kelly 206 USPQ 570. Claim 9 does not meet that test.

37 CFR 1.475 provides for one method of use to be examined with the elected compounds. A broad disclosure of utility as in the cited claims 11 and 18 cannot be deemed in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 101, and 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicants should pick one use from claims 12 and 19. The claim should be written as a method of treating claims pick one understandable utility is currently available form. Like, treating high blood pressure.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. The claim does not indicate what "activating" means.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph. Mixtures in all ratios are not supportable, Man-made mixture are not in class 544 with the pyrmidine, but in Art Unit: 1624

class 252. Clarafication is requested. All mixture in all ratios, made by any means cannot be accepted here. Claims 1-7, and 13, 14 are rejected under 35 USC//2, low and 2nd Claim: 1 is rejected under 35 USC 103, as being unpatentable over EP 555,478. Note According to the phenyl as R³ here. B in '478 is methyl, while here it is the next adjacent obvious therefrom, ethyl for R⁴. R⁴ here is also trifluoromethyl and phenyl as B is '478. R³ in '478 is

-N-R9

corresponding to

-NRI, here,

Claims 8 and 15 are rejected, being dependent on a rejected claim.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 19 - Ani